UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

) Case No. <u>0:15-cv-01914</u>
)
) COMPLAINT
)) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)
)

Plaintiff, HAWK TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, LLC ("Hawk"), hereby brings suit against COBORN'S, INC. ("Coborn's") and alleges:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is a civil action for patent infringement of United States Patent No. RE43,462 ('462 Patent), under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. §§271 and 281-285. The '462 Patent is a reissue of United States Patent No. 5,265, 410 (the '410 Patent). The independent claims in the reissued '462 Patent are substantially identical to the corresponding claims in the original '410 Patent.
 - 2. A copy of the '462 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

PARTIES

3. Hawk is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida and maintains its principal place of business at 2 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 3800, Miami, Florida 33131.

4. Coborn's is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Minnesota, with its principal place of business at 1445 East Highway 23, St. Cloud, Minnesota 56302.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a), this Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action because this is an action arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et. seq.*
- 6. This court has personal jurisdiction over Coborn's because infringing activity alleged herein took place in the State of Minnesota. Further, the exercise of personal jurisdiction comports with Due Process under the United States Constitution.
 - 7. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b), venue is proper in this district.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Hawk Technology Systems was formed in 2012 to commercialize the inventions of its founder, Barry Schwab.
- 9. Mr. Ken Washino and Mr. Schwab invented what is claimed by the '462 Patent.
- 10. Mr. Washino and Mr. Schwab have collaborated on a number of other pioneering inventions resulting in patents in the areas of video archiving, video downloading and digital cinema.
- 11. Mr. Schwab also is a named inventor on more than thirty patents, ranging from consumer products to secure network computing.

491807.1

Claim 12 Of The '462 Patent

12. Claim 12 of the '462 patent states:

The method of simultaneously displaying and storing multiple video images, comprising the steps of:

receiving video images at a personal computer based system from one or more sources:

digitizing any of the images not already in digital form using an analog-to-digital converter;

displaying at least certain of the digitized images in separate windows on a personal computer based display device, using a first set of temporal and spatial parameters associated with each image in each window;

converting one or more of the video source images into a data storage format using a second set of temporal and spatial parameters associated with each image; and simultaneously storing the converted images in a storage device.

('462 Patent, Col. 11, line 62 – Col. 12, line 10).

13. Coborn's uses a video storage and display system and methods at its stores that infringes one or more claims of the '462 Patent.

COUNT I: DIRECT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '462 PATENT

- 14. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-13 above are hereby re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 15. Without Hawk's authorization, Coborn's uses a video storage and display system and/or methods that infringe one or more of the claims in the '462 Patent, as defined in 35 U.S.C. §271(a).
 - 16. Hawk has been damaged by Coborn's infringement.

491807.1

WHEREFORE, Hawk respectfully requests the Court:

A. Enter a judgment finding that Coborn's has directly infringed the '462

Patent.

B. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, order Coborn's to pay damages adequate to

compensate for the infringement and including all other damages allowed by 35 U.S.C.

§284, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention,

together with interest and costs;

C. Find this to be an exceptional case of patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §

285 and award reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred by Plaintiff in

prosecuting this action; and

D. Award such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 13, 2015 s/Gregory J. Myers

Gregory J. Myers, MN #287398 LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P. 100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55401 (612) 339-6900 (612) 339-0981 facsimile

gjmyers@locklaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF HAWK TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, LLC.

491807.1